1	HOFLAND & TOMSHECK	
2	Joshua Tomsheck, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 009210 josht@hoflandlaw.com	
3	228 S. Fourth Street, First Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89101	
4	Telephone: (702) 895-6760 Facsimile: (702) 731-6910	
5	Attorney for Defendant	
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
8	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No. 2:22-mj-533-DJA
9	Plaintiff,	ORDER to Continue
10	vs.	to Continue Trial Date
11	JORGE RAUL FLORES,	
12	Defendant.	
13	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND A	GREED, by and between Jason M. Frierson,
14	United States Attorney, and Christopher Burton, Esq., Assistant United States Attorney,	
15	counsel for the United States of America, and Joshua Tomsheck, Esq. and Jason Carr, Esq.,	
16	of HOFLAND & TOMSHECK, counsel for	Defendant, Jorge Raul Flores, that the trial
17	currently scheduled for December 28, 2022 a	t 9:00 a.m., in the above-captioned matter be
18	vacated and continued to a date and time to be set by this Honorable Court, but no sooner	
19	than thirty (30) days.	
20	///	
21	///	
22	///	
23	///	
24		

1	This stipulation is entered into for the following reasons:	
2	1. This is the first continuance request.	
3	2. The parties are currently working towards negotiations to resolve the matter.	
4	3. The defense will need additional time to complete discovery review.	
5	4. The defendant is out of custody and does not object to this continuance.	
6	5. Denial of this request for continuance would deny counsel for defendant sufficient	
7	time to be able to effectively and thoroughly prepare for trial, taking into accoun	
8	the exercise of due diligence.	
9	6. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage	
10	of justice.	
11	7. The Speedy Trial Act does not apply to petty offenses. Compare 18 U.S.C	
12 13	§ 3161(a)("In any case involving a defendant charged with an offense") with 18	
14	U.S.C. § 3172(2) (defining "offense" as any federal criminal offense other than	
15	inter alia, a Class B or C misdemeanor or infraction).	
16	8. For the above stated reasons, the parties agree that a continuance of the Trial date	
17	would best serve the ends of justice in this case.	
18	DATED this 20th day of December, 2022.	
19	Respectfully submitted,	
20	HOFLAND & TOMSHECK JASON M. FRIERSON United States Attorney	
21	/s/ Joshua Tomsheck /s/ Christopher Burton	
22	JOSHUA TOMSHECK, ESQ. CHRISTOPHER BURTON, ESQ. Counsel for Defendant Assistant United States Attorney	
23		
24		

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Case No. 2:22-mj-533-DJA 4 Plaintiff, 5 VS. 6 JORGE RAUL FLORES, **ORDER** 7 Defendant. 8 Based on the pending Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the 9 Court finds that: 10 1. This is the first continuance request. 11 2. The parties are currently working towards negotiations to resolve the matter. 12 3. The defense will need additional time to complete discovery review. 13 4. The defendant is out of custody and does not object to this continuance. 14 5. Denial of this request for continuance would deny counsel for defendant sufficient 15 time to be able to effectively and thoroughly prepare for trial, taking into account 16 the exercise of due diligence. 17 6. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage 18 of justice. 19 7. The Speedy Trial Act does not apply to petty offenses. Compare 18 U.S.C. 20 § 3161(a)("In any case involving a defendant charged with an offense") with 18 21 U.S.C. § 3172(2) (defining "offense" as any federal criminal offense other than, 22 23 inter alia, a Class B or C misdemeanor or infraction).

24

1 8. For the above stated reasons, the parties agree that a continuance of the Trial date 2 would best serve the ends of justice in this case. 3 **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW** 4 The ends of justice served by granting said continuance outweigh the best interest of 5 the public and the defendant, since the failure to grant said continuance would be likely to 6 result in a miscarriage of justice, would deny the parties herein sufficient time and the 7 opportunity within which to be able to effectively and thoroughly prepare for trial, taking 8 into account the exercise of due diligence. 9 ORDER 10 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Trial currently scheduled for December 28, 2022, 11 at the hour of 9:00 a.m., be vacated and continued to 12 March 8, 2023, at 9:00 a.m., Courtroom 3A. 13 December 21st DATED this _____ day of ______ 2022. 14 15 16 DANIEL J. ALBREGTS United States Magistrate Judge 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24